

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/759,778	01/16/2004	Alexander Guy Chan	6540/53808	8140
30505	7590 06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
MARK J. SPOLYAR			BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL	
38 FOUNTAIN ST. SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
B/ II V I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	300, 011 71111		3636	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	M
10/759,778	CHAN, ALEXANDER	R GUY
Examiner	Art Unit	
Anthony D Barfield	3636	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period f r Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- after SIA (9) MION I FIS ITOM THE MAILING GALE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status			
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2004. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	on of Claims		
5)□ 9 6)⊠ 9 7)□ 9	Claim(s) <u>25-45</u> is/are pending in the application. Ia) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>25-45</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application	on Papers		
10) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment	<u> </u>		
2) Notice	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/04.

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/759,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 5-10 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,698,828. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 34-43 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 11-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,698,828. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both recite a folding seat/chair having back legs which abut rear outer surfaces of first

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/759,778

Art Unit: 3636

and second lateral members of a seat frame while lateral uprights of a backrest frame abut against the upper surfaces of the respective first and second lateral members of the seat frame.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 34,38-39, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 6. Spoljar. Spoljar shows the use of a folding seat comprising a seat frame (1) with a backrest frame (3) having a lateral uprights (4) hinged to the rear edge of the seat frame and forwardly collapsible over an upper surface thereof. The backrest frame abuts an upper surface of lateral members of the seat frame, while first and second back legs abut both a rear surface of lateral uprights of the backrest frame and a rear edge of the seat frame (see Fig. 1). Spoljar further shows the use of first and second hinges (6) which extend between respective lateral uprights of the backrest frame and the lateral members of the seat frame. . .

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D Barfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2158.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

adb

June 8, 2004